

PERFORMANCE TR	

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our Schoo	1	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	65.1	55.9	60.0	62.6	61.1	63.1	
Passed 2 subtests	21.7	21.6	22.1	19.4	18.7	21.5	
Passed 1 subtest	5.7	15.3	9.5	11.1	12.7	8.8	
Passed no subtests	7.5	7.2	7.4	6.9	7.5	6.1	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
11101	n	%	n 105	%	n	% 70.1	
All Students	94	91.5	105	10.5	117	70.1	
Gender	50	00.5		40.0	0.4	07.0	
Male	52	86.5	50	18.0	61	67.2	
Female	42	97.6	55	3.6	56	73.2	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	53	88.7	60	0.0	70	65.7	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	41	95.1	44	25.0	47	74.5	
Other	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	0	N/A	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	1	I/S	5	0.0	13	0.0	
Students without disabilities	93	91.4	100	11.0	104	78.8	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	105	10.5	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	94	91.5	105	10.5	117	70.1	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	55	85.5	56	0.0	63	68.3	
Full-pay meals	39	100.0	49	22.4	54	72.2	
n = number of students on which per	centage is cald	culated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	10.5	8.2
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	10.5	9.3
Seniors who met the grade point average	39.0	40.0

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 479)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	6.4% 95.6%	Down from 10.1% Down from 97.9%	8.7% 95.6%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	9.6% 10.1%	Down from 12.1% Up from 9.0%	7.1% 13.2%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	8.1% 1.5%	Down from 11.0% Up from 0.6%	13.0% 2.4%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	4.3% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	3.7% 7.8%	Up from 2.5% Up from 4.2%	3.2% 4.7%	2.7% 3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 312	Up from 293	312	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	28.1%	Down from 53.1%	25.9%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	81.5%	Down from 87.3%	73.2%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	96.3%	Up from 95.2%	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 38)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	57.9% 89.5%	Up from 55.3% Up from 86.8%	51.7% 81.8%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Down from 85.7%	84.7%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.1% \$39,557	Up from 95.7% Down 0.5%	96.1% \$39,557	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	13.3 days	Up from 11.6 days	10.6 days	10.3 days
School	•		•	
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	3.0 24.1 to 1	Up from 2.0 Up from 23.3 to 1	4.0 24.1 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.7% \$6,772	Down from 91.2% Up 7.0%	89.8% \$6,757	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.2% Good	Up from 59.1% No change	58.2% Good	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	11.4% no	Down from 79.1% N/A	91.2% yes	87.8% yes

ted.	are repor	a are	data	tinancial	audited	* Prior year	
ted	are repor	a are	data	tinancial	audited	* Prior year	

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Bamberg-Ehrhardt High School offers the types of instruction which will prepare its students to become viable, responsible, and intelligent citizens who function effectively in society and serve the community in its worthwhile endeavors to improve the welfare of its citizens. It further provides "hands-on" instruction and demonstrations which are meaningful to those students entering vocational areas. Students, parents, faculty, and the administration work together to ensure students' success. Parental involvement provides support in maintaining a program and atmosphere suitable to the students' needs. Guidance counseling is an integral part of the Bamberg-Ehrhardt High School curriculum. Bamberg-Ehrhardt High School counselors advise students in the areas of course selection, college placement, vocational placement, aptitude and achievement testing, and individual problem-solving. Realizing our students have a variety of backgrounds, abilities, and needs, at Bamberg-Ehrhardt High School we accept the educational values of worthy extracurricular activities as contributing to desirable growth, enriching the curriculum, and enhancing the school program. We are aware that the continuous upgrading of education involves on-going leadership, careful planning, prudent use of financial resources, and continual revision of curricula to meet the needs of a constantly changing world.

Hughie Peterson, Jr. Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	36	0	12			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	80.0%	FORMS	75.0%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	72.2%	LOST IN	60.0%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	34.3%	SHIPMENT	83.3%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.